

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/548,406	SHARUDENKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary A. Davis	3748	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mary A. Davis.

(2) Alexander Yampolsky.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 December 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 30.*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Claim 30 and revisions to the amended specification filed 7 November 2007 (see Examiner's Amendment).*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Mary A. Davis*

*M. A. Davis 12/5/07*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)